

## **ONI review completed**

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

## INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

		<u>per 1946</u> te)
PAPER: (Assignment of Functions in	the Field of Static	
CLASSIFICATION: SECRET CLASSIFICATION	l Intelligence Group	
		25X1
CONSIGNEE	. SIGNATURE	COPY NUMBER
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE		1
Executive to the Director		17
Assistant Executive Director		18
Chief, Interdepartmental Staff		19 & 20
Advisory Council		21
Legislative Liaison Branch		22
Secretary, NIA		33 thro 45 (+ stever
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS		24
"A" Deputy 121 adm &		25
"B" Deputy		26
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION		<u>27 &amp; 28</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION 200 GLZ	1-a-	<b>XX</b> 29
Central Records Section		30 & 31
Administrative Files		32
General Sibert		
	***************************************	/

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

## INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

18 September 1946

CLASSIFICATION: SECRET		
CONSIGNEE	SIGNATURE	COPY NUMBER
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE	•	$\exists$ 1
Executive to the Director		13
Assistant Executive Director		14
Chicf, Interdepartmental Staff		15 + 26
Advisory Council		16
Legislative Liaison Branch		17
Secretary, NIA		2 <b>9</b> thru 40
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS		18
"A" Deputy		19
"B" Deputy		20
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION		21
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION		22
Centrel Records Section		23 & 24
Administrative Files		25
		-
AUTHENTICATION:		

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

25X1A

### Memorandum by the Secretary

- l. Fursuant to discussion at the 8th meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board, a committee representing the Director of

  Central Intelligence and the I.A.B. members was appointed to conduct the study proposed in
  - 2. The enclosed memorandum by this committee is circulated herewith for consideration at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR. Secretary, N.I.A.

## ENCLOSURE

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP References: 

b. Minutes, I,A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

# Memorandum by the Special Committee Appointed to Study the Above Problem

- 1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the I.A.B. members met with representatives of the C.I.G. as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the Office of Naval Intelligence "to determine the practicability of the performance by C.I.G. of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."
- 2. C.I.G. offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the O.N.I proposal, which it believed would:
  - a. Assure to each agency the untrammeled conduct of its departmental intelligence;
  - $\underline{b}$ . Assure to each agency the maintenance by C.I.G. of those intelligence activities of common concern;
  - <u>c</u>. Assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agnecy for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.
- 3. In the general discussion which followed, the O.N.I. representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in C.I.G., including the offer to transfer O.N.I. personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to C.I.G. provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the M.I.D. representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.

25X1A

- 4. The representative of the Assistant Chief of Air Staff-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, C.I.G. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach C.I.G. with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by C.I.G. There is nothing, he stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.
- 5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.
- 6. In the opinion of the committee, the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern, that a further approach to the problem as presented by 0.N.I. is not now feasible.
- 7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between C.I.G. and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require the C.I.G. to perform

## S E C R E T

for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the N.I.A. determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the I.A.B. that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by O.N.I. and approved by the I.A.B.

25X1A

Mr. Donald Edgar, C.I.G.
Brig. Gen. J. A. Samford, U.S.A.
Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
U.S.N., C.I.G.

SECRET

COPY NO. 10

17 September 1946

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

# ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

## Memorandum by the Secretary

As requested in a memorandum to the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed report by the Chief of Naval Intelligence is circulated herewith for discussion at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR., Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

#### ENCLOSURE

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

Memorandum by the Chief of Naval Intelligence

## THE PROBLEM

To examine the practicability of further merger of static intelligence functions of the State, War and Navy Departments through assignment of same to the Central Intelligence Group.

#### FACTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to the establishment of the Central Intelligence Group the War and Navy Departments were engaged in the study of the problem of the susceptibility of the field of static intelligence to joint effort. The War and Navy Departments each completed a study which indicated in this field there were cloments (organizational units, functions or activities) that were susceptible of joint effort. These separate studies now require further joint study in order to determine the practicability of such joint enterprises. At present they indicate that any such joint enterprises should be housed together. Now that the Central Intelligence Group has been established, the War and Navy Departments are reluctant to proceed with this study pending a determination of the practicability of the Central Intelligence Group performing those functions that are susceptible of joint effort. The performance of such functions by the Contral Intelligence Group is authorized by paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946. Also, it is believed that the Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries. Those elements that are considered susceptible of joint effort are as follows: political,

conomic, sociological, topographic and technical. It is believed that the performance of these functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in the preparation of JANIS studies as well as any intelligence studies made for the Joint War Planners. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

#### CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

- a. Paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 authorized the Central Intelligence Group to perform for the benefit of the intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the National Intelligence Authority determined could be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- <u>b</u>. In the field of static intelligence certain elements (organizational units, functions or activities) performed by the State, War and Navy Departments are susceptible of joint effort.
- c. The Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together.
- d. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries.
- e. Performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in JANIS studies as well as in the preparation of intelligence studies for the Jeint War Planners.
- f. Morging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended as follows:

a. That a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by the Central Intelligence Group of such elements (organizational units, functions or activities) of common concern in the field of static intelligence as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.

 $\underline{b}$ . That this paper be presented to the Intelligence Advisory Board.

Approved For Release 2003/09/03: CIA-RDP81-00728R000100040015-4

SECRET

25X1/	4
-------	---

BRIEF ON

25X1A

The third item is \_\_\_\_\_\_ At the suggestion of Admiral Inglis, a committee has been studying the possibility of assigning functions in the field of static or basic intelligence to the C.I.G. This committee has now recommended that it be relieved of the charge to continue this study.

Admiral Inglis, do you wish to comment upon the committee's recommendation?

25X1A	SECRET COPY NO. 33
	4 November 1946
	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP
25X1A	ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP References:
	b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item
	Memorandum by the Secretary
	1. Pursuant to discussion at the 8th meeting of the Intelli-
	gence Advisory Board, a committee representing the Director of
25X1A	Central Intelligence and the I.A.B. members was appointed to
	conduct the study proposed in
	2. The enclosed memorandum by this committee is circulated
	herewith for consideration at the next meeting of the Intelli-
	gence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR. Secretary, N.I.A.

## ENCLOSURE

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP References: a. b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

# Memorandum by the Special Committee Appointed to Study the Above Problem

- 1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the I.A.B. members met with representatives of the C.I.G. as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the Office of Naval Intelligence "to determine the practicability of the performance by C.I.G. of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."
- 2. C.I.G. offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the O.N.I proposal, which it believed would:
  - a. Assure to each agency the untrammeled conduct of its departmental intelligence;
  - <u>b</u>. Assure to each agency the maintenance by C.I.G. of those intelligence activities of common concern;
  - c. Assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agnecy for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.
- 5. In the general discussion which followed, the O.N.I. representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in C.I.G., including the offer to transfer O.N.I. personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to C.I.G. provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the M.I.D. representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.

## S E C | Approved For Release 2003/09/03 : CIA-RDP81-00728R000100040015-4

- 4. The representative of the Assistant Chief of Air Staff-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, C.I.G. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach C.I.G. with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by C.I.G. There is nothing, he stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.
- 5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.
- 6. In the opinion of the committee, the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern, that a further approach to the problem as presented by 0.N.I. is not now feasible.
- 7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between C.I.G. and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require the C.I.G. to perform

#### Approved For Release 2003/09/03: CIA-RDP81-00728R000100040015-4

## SECRET

for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the N.I.A. determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the I.A.B. that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by O.N.I. and approved by the I.A.B.

25X1A

Mr. Donald Edgar, C.I.G.
Brig. Gen. J. A. Samford, U.S.A.
Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. F. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
U.S.N., C.I.G.

	TOP SECRET SECRE (Sender wi	T ONFIDENTIA 11 circle classi	L RESTRIC	CTED p and	Ul ASS	SIFIED	
		CENTRAL INTELL INTER-OFFICE R (Revised 10	IGENCE GROUN			A STATE OF THE STA	25X1 T
FROM				TO	INITIALS	DATE	 25X1A
	DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL	INTELLIGENCE		2		<del></del>	25/1/
	EXECUTIVE TO THE	DIRECTOR		0			
	SECRETARY TO	THE DIRECTOR			Ţ		
	EXECUTIVE OFFIC	E: ASST. EXECUTI	VE DIRECTOR				······································
	ADVISORY COUN						
	EXECUTIVE FOR	PERSONNEL & ADM	INISTRATION				
	CENTRAL RECO						
1	SECRETARY, NIA			3			
	CHIEF, INTERDEPA	RTMENTAL STAFF					
	ASST. DIRECTOR, SP	ECIAL OPERATIONS	3				
	ASST. DIRECTOR, RE	SEARCH & EVALUAT	NOI			1-7-51.	
	ASST. DIRECTOR, CO.	LLECTION & DISSE	MINATION			***************************************	
	CHIEF, SECURITY						<del></del>
							·
						·	
AP	PROVAL	INFORMATION	<b>V</b>		DIRECT RE	DT V	_
ACTION RETURN (A. (3))				COMMENT			
RE	COMMENDATION	PREPARATION	OF REPLY				
SIGNATURECONCURRENCE		DISPATCH					
remari ae/	cs: Pacymed .	ing MARKS OF	and some	.4.4.4	red in	City	
	and a second	The american of the MA			G at the		
TOF	SECRET SECRET	CONFIDENTIAL	RESTRICTE	)	UNCLASSIFT	त्र	

	SECRET COPY NO.
25X1A	
	October 1946
	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP
	ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD
25X1A	OF STATIG INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP
	References: a
	Memorandum by the Secretary
05744	1. Pursuant to discussion at the 8th meeting of the Intelligence
25X1A	Advisory Board, a committee representing the Director of Central In-
	telligence and the I.A.B. members was appointed to conduct the study
	proposed in
	2. The enclosed memorandum by this committee is circulated here-
	with for consideration at the next meeting of the Intelligence Ad-
	visory Board.
	JAMES S. LAY, JR.
	Secretary, N.I.A.

#### ENCLOSURE

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP References:

b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

#### Memorandum by the Special Committee Appointed to Study the Above Problem

- 1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the I.A.B. members met with representatives of the C.I.G. as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the Office of Naval Intelligence "to determine the practicability of the performance by C.I.G. of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."
- 2. C.I.G. offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the O.N.I. proposal, which it believed would:
  - a. Assure to each agency the untrammeled conduct of its departmental intelligence;
  - <u>b</u>. Assure to each agency the maintenance by C.I.G. of those intelligence activities of common concern;
  - c. Assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agency for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.
- 3. In the general discussion which followed, the O.N.I. representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in C.I.G., including the offer to transfer O.N.I. personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to C.I.G. provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the M.I.D. representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.

25X1A

SECRET

Enclosure

- 4. The representative of the Assistant Chief of Air Staff-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, C.I.G. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach C.I.G. with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by C.I.G. There is nothing, he stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.
- 5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.
- 6. In the opinion of the committee, the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern, that a further approach to the problem as presented by O.N.I. is not now feasible.
- 7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between C.I.G. and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require

the C.I.G. to perform for the benefit of the participating intelligencies such services of common concern as the N.I.A. determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the I.A.B. that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by 0.N.I. and approved by the I.A.B.

25X1A

Mr. Donald Edgar, C.I.G.
Brig. Gen. J. A. Samford, U.S.A.
Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
U.S.N., C.I.G.

Due to his recent transfer from the Washington area, the concurrence of Col. Treacy, the representative from the M.I.D., could not be ditained to paragraphs 2, 4 and 5, which are restatements of opinions expressed at the committee meeting. However, Col. Treacy had previously concurred in the remainder of this memorandum.

25X1A



613

## ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF

Reference: a. b. heimstes 1.A.B. 8th hearing, Item 3

Memorandum by the Special Committee appointed to study the above problem.

- 1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the IAB members met with representatives of the CIG as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the ONI "to determine the practicability of the performance by CIG of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."
- 2. CIG offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the ONI proposal, which it believed would:
  - (a) assure to each agency the untrammeled conduct of its departmental intelligence;
  - (b) assure to each agency the maintenance by CIG of those intelligence activities of common concern;
  - (c) assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agency for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.
- 3. In the general discussion which followed the ONI representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in CIG, including the offer to transfer ONI personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to CIG provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the MID representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.
- 4. The representative of the Ass't. Chief of Staff, A-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, CIG. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach CIG with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by CIG. There is nothing, he

COMEDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

-2-

stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.

- 5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.
- 6. In the opinion of the committee the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern that a further approach to the problem as presented by ONI is not now feasible.
- 7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between CIG and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require the CIG to perform for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the NTA determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- 8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the IAB that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by ONI and approved by the IAB.

Mr. Donald Edgar, CIG
Brig. Gen. J. A. Samford, U.S.A.
\*Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State

\*Due to his recent transfer from the Washington area, the concurrence of Col. Treacy, the representative from the MID, could not be obtained to paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 which are restatements of opinions expressed at the committee meeting. Natural, Col. Treacy had previously Concurred in the remainder of this membrandum.

2.5 October 1946

CONFIDENTIAL

SECHEL

October 1, 1946

25X1A

BRIEF ON

(Assignment of Functions in the Field of Static Intelligence to the CIG)

25X1A

Admiral Inglis in has recommended that a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by CIG of such elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence as political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.

CIG has already given consideration to this problem and its broad implications. Today's Agenda item No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ on CIG-JCS is pertinent thereto. Interdepartmental discussions on coordination, allocation and centralization are currently being held under CIG auspices.

However, these discussions have indicated to CIG the need for an early IAB or NIA decision on areas of primary responsibility for each agency, and the collateral part CIG is expected to play in "briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements". CIG has an organizational framework adapted to meeting any requirement for coordination, allocation or centralization in whole or in part of any appropriate intelligence function, but before any of these steps can be taken, or a further study made by CIG, a general policy decision must be made by the IAB or NIA.

It is, therefore, recommended that the Board in concurring in Admiral Inglis' recommendation indicate the broad basis on which the assignment of primary responsibility should be considered, and how each agency of secondary interest will have its needs for finished intelligence met.

SECRET

<u>S 1</u>	ECRET	
17	September	1046

COPY NO. 27

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

## ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

### Memorandum by the Secretary

As requested in a memorandum to the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed report by the Chief of Naval Intelligence is circulated herewith for discussion at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR., Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

25X1A

#### ENCLOSURE

#### ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

#### Momorandum by the Chief of Naval Intelligence

#### THE PROBLEM

To examine the practicability of further merger of static intelligence functions of the State, War and Navy Departments through assignment of same to the Central Intelligence Group.

#### FACTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to the establishment of the Central Intelligence Group the War and Navy Departments were engaged in the study of the problem of the susceptibility of the field of static intelligence to joint effc to The War and Navy Departments each completed a study which indicated in this field there were elements (organizational units, functions or activities) that were susceptible of joint effort. These separate studies now require further joint study in order to determine the practicability of such joint enterprises. At present they indicate that any such joint enterprises should be housed together. Now that the Central Intelligence Group has been established, the War and Navy Departments are reluctant to proceed with this study pending a determination of the practicability of the Central Intelligence Group performing those functions that are susceptible of joint effort. The performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group is authorized by paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946. Also, it is believed that the Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries. Those elements that are considered susceptible of joint offort are as follows: political,

cochomic, sociological, topographic and technical. It is believed that the performance of these functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in the preparation of JANIS studies as well as any intelligence studies made for the Joint War Planners. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

- a. Paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 authorized the Central Intelligence Group to perform for the benefit of the intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the National Intelligence Authority determined could be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- <u>b</u>. In the field of static intelligence certain elements (organizational units, functions or activities) performed by the State, War and Navy Departments are susceptible of joint effort.
- c. The Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together.
- $\underline{d}$ . There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries.
- o. Performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in JANIS studies as well as in the preparation of intelligence studies for the Jeint War Planners.
- <u>f.</u> Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended as follows:

a. That a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by the Central Intelligence Group of such elements (organizational units, functions or activities) of common concern in the field of static intelligence as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.

 $\underline{\mathbf{b}}$ . That this paper be presented to the Intelligence Advisory Board.

CE	NTRAL	. INTELI	LIGENCE G	IROUP		TOP.	SECRET		Stale Site	<del></del>
						IOF	SECKEI		CRET CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICT	'eď .
	<u>D1</u>	SPOSIT	ION FORM						7	
	r	·	·							
FROM	TO	DATE	ļ			FROM	TO	DATE		
		l	DIRECTOR	OF OFFITAL LINEAR AND	1.					
	_			OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Y DIRECTOR, C.I.G.					CHIEF, CENTRAL REPORTS STAFF	
				TANT TO THE DIRECTOR	-+		$\vdash$		W. Europe-Africa Branch	
				TARY, N.I.A.			-		E. Europe-USSR Branch	
									Middle East-India Branch Far East-Pacific Branch	
		- /- /	CHIEF OF	OPERATIONAL SERVICES					Western Hemisphere Branch	<del></del>
X		9/16		hief, Interdepartmenta	l Co-		X		Secretary, NIA	-
			CHIEF OF	ating and Planning Star	ff					
				NTRAL PLANNING STAFF					ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER	
				nation Branch					Personnel Branch	
				igence Branch					Budget & Fiscal Branch	<u> </u>
				ty Branch					Administrative Services Branch Central Records	
			Suppor	t Branch					Security Branch	
REFER	ENCES			ENCLOSURES:			ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	
REMARK	s:	Sub	iect.	Memo from Chf. Naval Intelligence to Di- rector of CIG, dated 6 September 1946, same subject, with one enclosure.		\$		RE FION	N COMMENT  FILE  NOTE  MAIL  FREPLY  atic Intelligence to the	
	sid	l. eratio	I have on in I	had a copy made of the CAPS in keeping with th	oup. attac e Dire	ched ector	ONI d	ocum ncil	ent for our further con-	
	•								2	25X1A
						DONA	TD ED	GAR		
			(Cont	inue "Remarks" on back, if	necessa	ary)				
					TOP	SECI	et (	SECRE	T CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICTED	

Leve Li

COPY NO.

25X1A

17 September 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

Memorandum by the Secretary

As requested in a memorandum to the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed report by the Chief of Naval Intelligence is circulated herewith for discussion at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.

Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

SECRET

#### ENCLOSURE

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

Memorandum by the Chief of Naval Intelligence

### THE PROBLEM

To examine the practicability of further merger of static intelligence functions of the State, War and Navy Departments through assignment of same to the Central Intelligence Group.

#### FACTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to the establishment of the Central Intelligence Group the War and Navy Departments were engaged in the study of the problem of the susceptibility of the field of static intelligence to joint effort. The War and Navy Departments each completed a study which indicated in this field there were elements (organizational units, functions or activities) that were susceptible of joint effort. These separate studies now require further joint study in order to determine the practicability of such joint enterprises. At present they indicate that any such joint enterprises should be housed together. Now that the Central Intelligence Group has been established, the War and Navy Departments are reluctant to proceed with this study pending a determination of the practicability of the Central Intelligence Group performing those functions that are susceptible of joint effort. The performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group is authorized by paragraph 3. c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946. Also, it is believed that the Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries. Those elements that are considered susceptible of joint effort are as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical. It is believed that the performance of these functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in the preparation of JANIS studies as well as any intelligence

25X1A

SECRET

ENCLOSURE

SECRET

studies made for the Joint War Planners. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

- a. Paragraph 3.c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 authorized the Central Intelligence Group to perform for the benefit of the intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the National Intelligence Authority determined could be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- <u>b</u>. In the field of static intelligence certain elements (organizational units, functions or activities) performed by the State, War and Navy Departments are susceptible of joint effort.
- .  $\underline{c}$ . The Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together.
- $\underline{\underline{d}}_{\bullet}$  There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries.
- e. Performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in JANIS studies as well as in the preparation of intelligence studies for the Joint War Planners.
- f. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended as follows:

- <u>a.</u> That a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by the Central Intelligence Group of such elements (organizational units, functions or activities) of common concern in the field of static intelligence as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.
  - b. That this paper be presented to the Intelligence Advisory Board.

25X1A

SECRET

ENCLOSURE